

REMARKS

In the Office Action, made final, the Examiner rejected all claims 1-10, 12-19, and 21-31 under 35 U.S.C. 102 for being obvious. Claims 1-10, 12-19, and 21-31 remain in the application.

The various rejections for obviousness were based on several references but in all cases included at least one of two U.S. Patents, Hobbs and Hegde. Applicants maintain the view that neither Hobbs nor Hegde are proper references against the present application. Both references qualify as prior art only under U.S.C. 102 (e). Both of these U.S. Patents were, at the time the invention of the present application was made, owned by Motorola, Inc. At the time the present invention was made, the claimed invention was subject to an obligation of assignment to Motorola, Inc. Accordingly, the requirements under 35 U.S.C. 103 (c) are met, and thus these two U.S. Patents cannot be used to preclude patentability of the presently claimed invention.

Applicants believe the application is in condition for allowance which action is respectfully solicited. Please contact the below-signed if there are any issues regarding this communication or otherwise concerning the current application.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc.  
Law Department

Customer Number: 23125

By: James L. Clingan, Jr.  
James L. Clingan, Jr.  
Attorney of Record  
Reg. No.: 30,163  
Telephone: (512) 996-6839  
Fax No.: (512) 996-6854  
Email: Jim.Clingan@Motorola.com